arXiv:math/0608618v3 [math.DG] 2 Oct 2008

Some Notes on G_2 and Spin(7) Geometry

Spiro Karigiannis
Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo
karigiannis@math.uwaterloo.ca

October 2, 2008

Abstract

We collect together various facts about G_2 and Spin(7) geometry which are likely well known but which do not seem to have appeared explicitly in the literature before. These notes should be useful to graduate students and new researchers in G_2 and Spin(7) geometry.

1 Introduction

These notes consist of a collection of facts about manifolds with G_2 or Spin(7) structures.

- In Section 2 we discuss the various sign and orientation conventions commonly used in G_2 and Spin(7) geometry and the relations between them.
- In Section 3 we discuss the relations between the form type decompositions of Calabi-Yau 3-folds and G_2 manifolds. If X^6 is a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, then $M^7 = S^1 \times X^6$, with the product metric, is a G_2 manifold, and we can decompose forms on M^7 into components determined by G_2 representation theory. These can be compared to the SU(3) type decompositions arising from the Calabi-Yau geometry. We find that there is a non-trivial mixing between the Kähler moduli and complex moduli of X^6 inside the G_2 moduli of $S^1 \times X^6$.
- In Section 4 we discuss some natural first order differential operators on manifolds with G_2 structure: the gradient, divergence, curl, and Dirac operator. We also discuss the relations between these operators.

The reader is expected to be familiar with G_2 and Spin(7) structures. Some references for G_2 and Spin(7) structures are [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8].

Disclaimer. These notes are purely expository and are made available as a potentially useful resource to others. In particular the reference list at the end is incomplete and any particular inclusions and/or omissions are not in any way meant to imply anything.

2 Signs and orientations in G_2 and $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ Geometry

2.1 G_2 structures

The differential forms that describe G_2 and Spin(7) structures can be defined in terms of octonion algebra. If we define the multiplication on the octonions $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}\mathbf{e} = \mathbb{R}^8$ via the Cayley-Dickson process [5], we have

$$(a + b\mathbf{e}) \cdot (c + d\mathbf{e}) = (ac - \bar{d}b) + (da + b\bar{c})\mathbf{e} \qquad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{H}$$

in terms of quaternion multiplication. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard Euclidian inner product on $\mathbb{R}^8$. Following [5], on $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O}) = \mathbb{R}^7$ we define the 3-form φ by

$$\varphi(x, y, z) \;=\; \langle x, yz \rangle \qquad x, y, z \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O}),$$

and its dual 4-form ψ by

$$\psi(x, y, z, w) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\langle x, [y, z, w] \rangle \qquad x, y, z, w \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O}),$$

where $[x, y, z] = (xy)z - x(yz)$ is the associator.

In terms of the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{O}$ we have the coordinates $x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3,\ y^0, y^1, y^2, y^3$ where the x^i's are coordinates on $\mathbb{H}$ and the y^j's are coordinates on $\mathbb{H}\mathbf{e}$. We take the orientation given by the volume form

$$\mathrm{vol}_8 \;=\; dx^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dy^0 dy^1 dy^2 dy^3.$$

Here and in the sequel, we omit the wedge broduct symbol $\wedge$ to avoid notational clutter. The induced orientation on $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ is taken to be the one given by

$$\mathrm{vol}_7 \;=\; dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dy^0 dy^1 dy^2 dy^3.$$

With respect to this orientation, the 4-form ψ is the Hodge dual (on $\mathbb{R}^7$) of φ:

$$\psi = *_7\varphi.$$

In these coordinates, the forms φ and ψ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \;=\; & dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 - dx^1 dy^2 dy^3 - dy^1 dx^2 dy^3 - dy^1 dy^2 dx^3 \\ & - dy^0 dx^1 dy^1 - dy^0 dx^2 dy^2 - dy^0 dx^3 dy^3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \;=\; & dy^0 dy^1 dy^2 dy^3 - dy^0 dy^1 dx^2 dx^3 - dy^0 dx^1 dy^2 dx^3 - dy^0 dx^1 dx^2 dy^3 \\ & - dx^2 dy^2 dx^3 dy^3 - dx^3 dy^3 dx^1 dy^1 - dx^1 dy^1 dx^2 dy^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi \wedge \psi = 7\mathrm{vol}_7$.

There are two useful ways to interpret and remember these expressions. First, we can consider $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ where we take $z^j = x^j + iy^j$ as complex coordinates for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $y^0 = t$ is a real coordinate. Then the standard orientation on $\mathbb{C}^3$ is

$$\mathrm{vol}_6 \;=\; dx^1 dy^1 dx^2 dy^2 dx^3 dy^3,$$

and we have

$$\mathrm{vol}_7 \;=\; dt \wedge \mathrm{vol}_6.$$

With this identification, the forms become

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \;&=\; \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_3) - dt \wedge \omega_3,\\ \psi \;&=\; -\, dt \wedge \mathrm{Im}(\Omega_3) - \frac{1}{2}\omega_3^2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_3 \;&=\; dz^1 dz^2 dz^3,\\ \omega_3 \;&=\; \frac{i}{2}(dz^1 d\bar{z}^1 + dz^2 d\bar{z}^2 + dz^3 d\bar{z}^3) = dx^1 dy^1 + dx^2 dy^2 + dx^3 dy^3,\end{aligned}$$

are the standard holomorphic volume form and Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^3$, respectively.

A second way to interpret and remember these forms is to consider $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O}) = \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathbb{H}\mathbf{e} = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$ where we take the x^i's as coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^3$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and the y^j's as coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We take the orientations

$$\mathrm{vol}_3 = dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{vol}_4 = dy^0 dy^1 dy^2 dy^3$$

on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^4$, respectively. This gives us the following basis of *anti-self dual* 2-forms on $\mathbb{R}^4$:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1^- \;&=\; dy^0 dy^1 - dy^2 dy^3,\\ \eta_2^- \;&=\; dy^0 dy^2 - dy^3 dy^1,\\ \eta_3^- \;&=\; dy^0 dy^3 - dy^1 dy^2.\end{aligned}$$

With this identification, the forms are

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \;&=\; \mathrm{vol}_3 + \, dx^1 \eta_1^- + dx^2 \eta_2^- + dx^3 \eta_3^-,\\ \psi \;&=\; \mathrm{vol}_4 - dx^1 dx^2 \eta_3^- - dx^2 dx^3 \eta_1^- - dx^3 dx^1 \eta_2^-.\end{aligned}$$

We can identify $\mathbb{R}^7 = \Lambda^2_-(\mathbb{R}^4) = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$ and in this way the canonical form θ on the total space is given by

$$\theta \;=\; x^1 \eta_1^- + x^2 \eta_2^- + x^3 \eta_3^-,$$

and hence

$$\varphi = \mathrm{vol}_3 + d\theta.$$

To extract the metric from the 3-form φ, we use the identity

$$(u \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge (v \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge \varphi \;=\; -6\langle u, v\rangle \mathrm{vol}_7$$

The forms φ and ψ are characterized by the fact that they are invariant under the action of the group $\mathrm{G}_2 \subset SO(7)$.

Alternatively, we can instead consider the opposite orientation $\widetilde{\mathrm{vol}}_7 = -\mathrm{vol}_7$. This is equivalent to keeping the orientation fixed and changing the sign of one coordinate. (And changing the sign of the Hodge star $*$ operator.) Let us change $y^0 \to -y^0$. Then in terms of $\mathbb{C}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$, the forms become

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_3) + dt \wedge \omega_3,\\ \psi &= -dt \wedge \mathrm{Im}(\Omega_3) + \frac{1}{2}\omega_3^2.\end{aligned}$$

Using this convention, in terms of $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$, the forms are

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= \mathrm{vol}_3 - dx^1\eta_1^+ - dx^2\eta_2^+ - dx^3\eta_3^+,\\ \psi &= \mathrm{vol}_4 - dx^1dx^2\eta_3^+ - dx^2dx^3\eta_1^+ - dx^3dx^1\eta_2^+,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1^+ &= dy^0dy^1 + dy^2dy^3,\\ \eta_2^+ &= dy^0dy^2 + dy^3dy^1,\\ \eta_3^+ &= dy^0dy^3 + dy^1dy^2,\end{aligned}$$

is the corresponding basis of *self-dual* 2-forms on $\mathbb{R}^4$.

In this convention, the metric is obtained from

$$(u \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge (v \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge \varphi = +6\langle u, v\rangle \mathrm{vol}_7$$

In this case the model space for the G_2 structure is $\Lambda^2_+(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

Remark 2.1. If instead we defined the 3-form $\tilde{\varphi}$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \mathrm{vol}_3 - \sum_k dx^k\eta_k^- \qquad \text{or} \qquad \tilde{\varphi} = \mathrm{vol}_3 + \sum_k dx^k\eta_k^+$$

then these forms would determine a metric of signature $(3,4)$ or $(4,3)$ and correspond to the non-compact split form of G_2.

2.2 Spin(7) structures

We now move on to Spin(7) structures. Following [5], there is a 3-fold cross product on $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{O}$ defined via octonion multiplication by

$$X(x,y,z) = \frac{1}{2}(x(\bar{y}z) - z(\bar{y}x)),$$

which allows us to define the canonical 4-form Φ on $\mathbb{O}$ as

$$\Phi(x,y,z,w) = \langle x, X(y,z,w)\rangle.$$

In terms of the coordinates x^i, y^i, $i = 0,1,2,3$, the form Φ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi =\ & dx^0dx^1dx^2dx^3 - dx^0dx^1dy^2dy^3 - dx^0dy^1dx^2dy^3 - dx^0dy^1dy^2dx^3\\ & - dx^0dy^0dx^1dy^1 - dx^0dy^0dx^2dy^2 - dx^0dy^0dx^3dy^3\\ & + dy^0dy^1dy^2dy^3 - dy^0dy^1dx^2dx^3 - dy^0dx^1dy^2dx^3 - dy^0dx^1dx^2dy^3\\ & - dx^2dy^2dx^3dy^3 - dx^3dy^3dx^1dy^1 - dx^1dy^1dx^2dy^2\end{aligned}$$

which can be more succintly written as

$$\Phi \;=\; dx^0 \wedge \varphi + \psi.$$

With respect to the standard orientation vol_8, the 4-form Φ is self-dual: $*\Phi = \Phi$, and it satisfies $\Phi^2 = 14\mathrm{vol}_8$. The above expression corresponds to the model space $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$.

There are two more ways to interpret and remember the 4-form Φ. First, one can identify $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{C}^4$ and take complex coordinates $z^j = x^j + iy^j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Note that our chosen orientation vol_8 is equal to the canonical orientation determined by the complex structure, specifically

$$\mathrm{vol}_8 \;=\; dx^0 dy^0 dx^1 dy^1 dx^2 dy^2 dx^3 dy^3.$$

In these coordinates, the 4-form Φ can be written as

$$\Phi \;=\; \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_4) - \frac{1}{2}\omega_4^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_4 \;&=\; dz^0 dz^1 dz^2 dz^3, \\
\omega_4 \;&=\; \frac{i}{2}(dz^0 d\bar{z}^0 + dz^1 d\bar{z}^1 + dz^2 d\bar{z}^2 + dz^3 d\bar{z}^3) \\
&=\; dx^0 dy^0 + dx^1 dy^1 + dx^2 dy^2 + dx^3 dy^3,
\end{aligned}$$

are the standard holomorphic volume form and Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^4$, respectively.

Alternatively, if we use the description of the G_2 forms φ and ψ in terms of the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$, then we get a description of Φ in terms of $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$. Let us define $\mathrm{vol}_X = dx^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3$ and $\mathrm{vol}_Y = dy^0 dy^1 dy^2 dy^3$. Let $\eta_i^- = dy^0 dy^i - dy^j dy^k$ be a basis of anti-self-dual 2-forms on the copy of $\mathbb{R}^4$ with coordinates y^i, where i, j, k is a cyclic permutation of $1, 2, 3$. Similarly define $\beta_i^- = dx^0 dx^i - dx^j dx^k$ to be anti-self dual 2-forms on the other copy of $\mathbb{R}^4$. Then we have

$$\Phi \;=\; \mathrm{vol}_X + \beta_1^- \eta_1^- + \beta_2^- \eta_2^- + \beta_3^- \eta_3^- + \mathrm{vol}_Y.$$

Before interpreting this decomposition $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$ in terms of spinor spaces, we remark that if we chose the other convention for φ and ψ, which corresponds to a change or orientation on $\mathbb{R}^7$ (and hence on $\mathbb{R}^8$), we would obtain the following two forms for Φ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi \;&=\; \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_4) + \frac{1}{2}\omega_4^2, \\
\Phi \;&=\; \mathrm{vol}_X - \beta_1^+ \eta_1^+ - \beta_2^+ \eta_2^+ - \beta_3^+ \eta_3^+ + \mathrm{vol}_Y,
\end{aligned}$$

where the η_i^+ and β_i^+ are now self-dual 2-forms on the two copies of $\mathbb{R}^4$. The 4-form Φ is still self-dual.

Consider now the space $\mathbb{S}_-(\mathbb{R}^4)$ of negative chirality spinors over $\mathbb{R}^4$. This is a quaternionic line bundle over $\mathbb{R}^4$. Let e^0, e^1, e^2, e^3 be an oriented orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^4$. Define $\omega_i^- = e^0 \wedge e^i - e^j \wedge e^k$ where i, j, k is a cyclic permutation of $1, 2, 3$. It is easy to check that under Clifford multiplication, $\omega_i^- \cdot \omega_i^- = -2(1 + \mathrm{vol})$ and $\omega_i^- \cdot \omega_j^- = -2\omega_k^-$ where $\mathrm{vol} = e^0 \cdot e^1 \cdot e^2 \cdot e^3$ is the volume form. Since

for dimension $n = 4$, Clifford multiplication by $\gamma = -\mathrm{vol}$ is equal to ± 1 on the spinor spaces $\mathbb{S}_\pm$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_1^-, \qquad \frac{1}{2}\omega_2^-, \qquad \frac{1}{2}\omega_3^-,$$

act as right multiplication by the quaternions $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, $\mathbf{k}$, respectively, on the fibre $\mathbb{S}_- = \mathbb{H}$. Thus, for any choice of unit spinor $s_0 \in \mathbb{S}_+$, we obtain an orthonormal basis

$$s_0, \qquad s_1 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_1^- \cdot s_0, \qquad s_2 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_2^- \cdot s_0, \qquad s_3 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_3^- \cdot s_0,$$

of $\mathbb{S}_-$ and conversely every orthonormal basis can be written in this way. Following [4], we can multiply two spinors s_i, s_j to obtain an endomorphism $s_i \circ s_j$ of $\mathbb{S}_-$, which is itself Clifford multiplication by some form. That is, the product of two spinors is a form. The product is defined by

$$(s_i \circ s_j)s_k \;=\; \langle s_j, s_k\rangle s_i.$$

Then it is easy to check explicitly using an orthonormal basis s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, that as endomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}_-$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_i^- \;=\; -(s_0 \circ s_i - s_j \circ s_k) + (s_i \circ s_0 - s_k \circ s_j)$$

where i, j, k is a cyclic permutation of $1, 2, 3$. Now suppose we choose some different orthonormal basis $\tilde{s}_k = A_k^l s_l$, where $k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then the associated orthonormal basis $\{\beta_i^-\}$ of anti-self-dual 2-forms on the fibre $\mathbb{S}_-$ will change by the linear map $\Lambda^2_-(A^*)$, where A^* is the inverse transpose of A and $\Lambda^2_-(A^*)$ means the induced linear operator on $\Lambda^2_-(\mathbb{S}_-)^*$. But by the above expression, the associated orthonormal basis $\{\eta_i^-\}$ of anti-self dual 2-forms on the base $\mathbb{R}^4$ will change by $\Lambda^2_-(A)$. Thus the expression

$$\beta_1^-\eta_1^- + \beta_2^-\eta_2^- + \beta_3^-\eta_3^-$$

is independent of choice of orthonormal basis and is hence a well-defined 4-form on the total space $\mathbb{S}_-(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Therefore with this choice of convention, the model space for the Spin(7) structure on $\mathbb{R}^8$ is $\mathbb{S}_-(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

If instead we had used the other sign/orientation convention, we would be using self-dual 2-forms on the base and fibre, and the total space would be the space of positive chirality spinors, $\mathbb{S}_+(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

3 Relationship between G_2 manifolds and Calabi-Yau 3-folds

3.1 G_2 structures on $S^1 \times X^6$

Let X^6 be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold with Kähler form ω and non-vanishing holomorphic $(3,0)$ form Ω. The following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega \wedge \Omega \;=\; \omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} \;=\; \omega \wedge \mathrm{Re}(\Omega) \;=\; \omega \wedge \mathrm{Im}(\Omega) \;=\; 0,\\
&\frac{\omega^3}{6} \;=\; \mathrm{vol}_6 \;=\; \frac{i}{8}\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}, \qquad\qquad |\mathrm{Re}(\Omega)|^2 \;=\; |\mathrm{Im}(\Omega)|^2 \;=\; 4,\\
&\mathrm{Re}(\Omega) \wedge \mathrm{Im}(\Omega) \;=\; \frac{1}{4i}(\Omega + \overline{\Omega}) \wedge (\Omega - \overline{\Omega}) \;=\; \frac{i}{2}\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} \;=\; 4\mathrm{vol}_6,\\
&*_6\,\mathrm{Re}(\Omega) \;=\; \mathrm{Im}(\Omega), \qquad\qquad *_6\mathrm{Im}(\Omega) \;=\; -\mathrm{Re}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}$$

where vol_6 and $*_6$ are the volume form and Hodge star operator on X^6, respectively. Also, $|\cdot|^2$ is the pointwise norm on forms on X^6.

Now let t be an angle coordinate for the circle S^1, so dt is the globally defined volume form on S^1 with respect to the standard round metric. With the product metric on $M^7 = S^1 \times X^6$, the 7-manifold has holonomy contained in G_2. (In fact the holonomy is SU(3).) We can take the associated G_2 3-form φ to be

$$\varphi \;=\; \mathrm{Re}(\Omega) - dt \wedge \omega,$$

which determines the dual 4-form $\psi = *_7\varphi$ as

$$\psi \;=\; -dt \wedge \mathrm{Im}(\Omega) - \frac{\omega^2}{2},$$

where we use $*_7$ to denote the Hodge star operator on M^7 and vol_7 the volume form on M^7. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \wedge \psi \;&= dt \wedge \mathrm{Re}(\Omega) \wedge \mathrm{Im}(\Omega) + dt \wedge \frac{\omega^3}{2}\\ &= 4dt \wedge \mathrm{vol}_6 + 3dt \wedge \mathrm{vol}_6\\ &= 7dt \wedge \mathrm{vol}_6 = 7\mathrm{vol}_7 = |\varphi|^2\mathrm{vol}_7\end{aligned}$$

as expected.

3.2 Form type decompositions

On the Calabi-Yau 3-fold X^6 we can decompose the complex valued differential forms into (p,q) types given by the complex structure. More specifically, the complex valued 2-forms and 3-forms decompose as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^2(X^6,\mathbb{C}) \;&= \Omega^{2,0} \oplus \Omega^{1,1} \oplus \Omega^{0,2},\\ \Omega^3(X^6,\mathbb{C}) \;&= \Omega^{3,0} \oplus \Omega^{2,1} \oplus \Omega^{1,2} \oplus \Omega^{0,3},\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega^{p,q}$ are the complex-valued forms of type (p,q) and $\Omega^{q,p} = \overline{\Omega^{p,q}}$. Since X^6 is Kähler, the $(1,1)$ forms further decompose into

$$\Omega^{1,1} \;=\; \mathrm{span}(\omega) \oplus \Omega^{1,1}_0$$

where $\Omega^{1,1}_0$ are the $(1,1)$ forms which are pointwise orthogonal to the Kähler form ω, and $\mathrm{span}(\omega) = \{f\omega; f \in C^\infty(X^6)\}$.

On the G_2 manifold M^7, the complex valued 2-forms and 3-forms decompose as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^2(M^7,\mathbb{C}) \;&= \Omega^2_7 \oplus \Omega^2_{14},\\ \Omega^3(M^7,\mathbb{C}) \;&= \Omega^3_1 \oplus \Omega^3_7 \oplus \Omega^3_{27},\end{aligned}$$

where the subspaces Ω^k_l are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^2_7 &= \{X \lrcorner \varphi; X \in \Gamma(TM^7)\} = \{\beta \in \Omega^2; *_7(\varphi \wedge \beta) = -2\beta\},\\
\Omega^2_{14} &= \{\beta \in \Omega^2; \beta \wedge \psi = 0\} = \{\beta \in \Omega^2; *_7(\varphi \wedge \beta) = \beta\},\\
\Omega^3_1 &= \{f\varphi; f \in C^\infty(M^7)\},\\
\Omega^3_7 &= \{X \lrcorner \psi; X \in \Gamma(TM^7)\},\\
\Omega^3_{27} &= \{\eta \in \Omega^3; \eta \wedge \varphi = 0 \text{ and } \eta \wedge \psi = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. If we had instead used the opposite orientation, we would have $\varphi = \mathrm{Re}(\Omega) + dt \wedge \omega$, with dual 4-form $\psi = -dt \wedge \mathrm{Im}(\Omega) + \frac{\omega^2}{2}$. With this convention, the eigenspaces Ω^2_7 and Ω^2_{14} of the operator $\beta \mapsto *_7(\varphi \wedge \beta)$ on Ω^2 would correspond to eigenvalues $+2$ and -1, respectively.

The case of 3-forms

Let η be a complex valued 3-form on M^7. We can decompose it as

$$\eta = \eta_3 + dt \wedge \eta_2,$$

where η_2 and η_3 are a 2-form and a 3-form on X^6, respectively. Note that strictly speaking, these forms also depend on the parameter t. This will not affect our computations, however. We simply treat forms on X^6 as depending on a smooth angular parameter t.

We write $\eta_3 = \eta_{3,0} + \eta_{2,1} + \eta_{1,2} + \eta_{0,3}$ and $\eta_2 = \eta_{2,0} + \eta^0_{1,1} + f\omega + \eta_{0,2}$, decomposing them into types determined by the Kähler structure of X^6.

Proposition 3.2. *The 3-form η is in Ω^3_{27} if and only if the following equations are satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega \wedge \eta_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega \wedge \eta_{0,2} &= 0,\\
\omega \wedge \eta_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Omega} \wedge \eta_{2,0} &= 0,\\
\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Omega} \wedge \eta_{3,0} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega \wedge \eta_{0,3} &= 0,\\
\frac{i}{2}\overline{\Omega} \wedge \eta_{3,0} - \frac{i}{2}\Omega \wedge \eta_{0,3} + \frac{f}{2}\omega^3 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we compute $\eta \wedge \varphi$ and decompose into types:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta \wedge \varphi &= \big(\eta_{3,0} + \eta_{2,1} + \eta_{1,2} + \eta_{0,3} + dt \wedge (\eta_{2,0} + \eta^0_{1,1} + f\omega + \eta_{0,2})\big) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2}(\Omega + \overline{\Omega}) - dt \wedge \omega\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\eta_{3,0} \wedge \overline{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{0,3} \wedge \Omega + dt \wedge \omega \wedge \eta_{2,1} + dt \wedge \omega \wedge \eta_{1,2} + \frac{1}{2}dt \wedge \eta_{2,0} \wedge \overline{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2}dt \wedge \eta_{0,2} \wedge \Omega,
\end{aligned}$$

where all other terms are zero due to type considerations. Now collecting terms of the same type: $(3,3)$, $dt \wedge (3,2)$, and $dt \wedge (2,3)$, gives the first three equations above. Similarly we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta \wedge \psi &= \big(\eta_{3,0} + \eta_{2,1} + \eta_{1,2} + \eta_{0,3} + dt \wedge (\eta_{2,0} + \eta^0_{1,1} + f\omega + \eta_{0,2})\big) \wedge \left(-dt \wedge \frac{1}{2i}(\Omega - \overline{\Omega}) - \frac{\omega^2}{2}\right)\\
&= \frac{i}{2}dt \wedge \eta_{3,0} \wedge \overline{\Omega} - \frac{i}{2}dt \wedge \eta_{0,3} \wedge \Omega - \frac{f}{2}dt \wedge \omega^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where again all other terms are zero due to type considerations, and we have also used the fact that $\eta^0_{1,1} \wedge \omega^2$ is zero, which follows from the fact that $\eta^0_{1,1} \perp \omega$ and $*_6\omega = \frac{\omega^2}{2}$. This expression is all of type $dt \wedge (3,3)$, and setting it equal to zero gives the fourth equation above. □

As an example, if we take $\eta = \mathrm{Re}(\Omega) + f dt \wedge \omega$ for some function f, we can check easily that this η is in Ω^3_{27} if and only if $f = \frac{4}{3}$. That is,

$$\mathrm{Re}(\Omega) + \frac{4}{3} dt \wedge \omega \ \in \ \Omega^3_{27}.$$

Recall that by definition we have

$$\mathrm{Re}(\Omega) - dt \wedge \omega \ \in \ \Omega^3_1,$$

from which it follows immediately that the real 3-forms $\mathrm{Re}(\Omega)$ and $dt \wedge \omega$ are both in $\Omega^3_1 \oplus \Omega^3_{27}$, and not in a strictly smaller subspace. We will see shortly that $\mathrm{Im}(\Omega)$ lies in Ω^3_7.

Before we move on to Ω^3_7, consider the case when η is real. Then $\overline{\eta} = \eta$, so it follows that $\eta_{q,p} = \overline{\eta_{p,q}}$. Now suppose that we have $\eta = \eta_{3,0} + \overline{\eta_{3,0}} + \eta_{2,1} + \overline{\eta_{2,1}} + dt \wedge \left(\eta_{2,0} + \overline{\eta_{2,0}} + f\omega + \eta^0_{1,1}\right)$ is some real 3-form, where f and $\eta^0_{1,1}$ are both real. Necessarily $\eta_{3,0} = g\Omega$ for some function g. Substituting this expression into the equations of Proposition 3.2, we find easily that $g = \frac{8}{3} f$ and is thus also real. Therefore we have

Corollary 3.3. *The* real 3*-forms of type* Ω^3_{27} *are given by:*

- $\mathrm{span}(\mathrm{Re}(\Omega) + \frac{4}{3} dt \wedge \omega)$*, which is (pointwise)* 1*-dimensional.*
- *all real forms of type* $dt \wedge (1,1)_0$ *(where* $(1,1)_0$ *are the* $(1,1)$ *forms orthogonal to* ω*), which is (pointwise)* 8*-dimensional.*
- *the real* 3*-forms* $\eta_{2,1} + \overline{\eta_{2,1}} + dt \wedge (\eta_{2,0} + \overline{\eta_{2,0}})$*, where* $\frac{1}{2}\Omega \wedge \overline{\eta_{2,0}} + \omega \wedge \eta_{2,1} = 0$*, which is (pointwise)* 18*-dimensional. This is because for any real* $(2,1)$ *form* $\eta_{2,1} + \overline{\eta_{2,1}}$*, the equation* $\frac{1}{2}\Omega \wedge \overline{\eta_{2,0}} + \omega \wedge \eta_{2,1} = 0$ *can be solved uniquely for* $\eta_{2,0}$ *due to the non-degeneracy of the holomorphic volume form* Ω*.*

This gives the expected result for the (pointwise) dimension of the space of *real* Ω^3_{27} forms to be $1 + 8 + 18 = 27$.

We now move on to the 3-forms η of type Ω^3_7. These are still orthogonal to φ, so we still require the condition $\eta \wedge \psi = 0$, but now the map $\eta \mapsto \varphi \wedge \eta$ is an isomorphism of Ω^3_7 onto Ω^6_7.

Proposition 3.4. *The* real 3*-forms of type* Ω^3_7 *are the following:*

- $\mathrm{span}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega))$ *, which is (pointwise)* 1*-dimensional.*
- *the real* 3*-forms* $\eta_{2,1} + \overline{\eta_{2,1}} + dt \wedge (\eta_{2,0} + \overline{\eta_{2,0}})$*, where* $(\eta_{2,1}, \eta_{2,0})$ *is in the orthogonal complement of the kernel of the linear map*

$$L : (\eta_{2,1}, \eta_{2,0}) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\Omega \wedge \overline{\eta_{2,0}} + \omega \wedge \eta_{2,1}.$$

  This space is (pointwise) 6*-dimensional.*

This gives the expected result of $1 + 6 = 7$ *for the (pointwise) dimension of the space of real* Ω^3_7 *forms.*

Proof. Suppose that the real 3-form η is in Ω^3_7. Since we have already shown that $dt\wedge\omega$ is in $\Omega^3_1\oplus\Omega^3_{27}$ and that all the forms of the type $dt\wedge(1,1)_0$ are in Ω^3_{27}, we can write that

$$\eta = \eta_{3,0} + \overline{\eta_{3,0}} + \eta_{2,1} + \overline{\eta_{2,1}} + dt\wedge(\eta_{2,0} + \overline{\eta_{2,0}}).$$

We must have $\eta_{3,0} = g\Omega$ for some function g, and substituting this into the fourth equation of Proposition 3.2, which holds here since Ω^3_7 forms also satisfy $\eta\wedge\psi = 0$, we get that g must be purely imaginary. This gives

$$\eta = h\mathrm{Im}(\Omega) + \eta_{2,1} + \overline{\eta_{2,1}} + dt\wedge(\eta_{2,0} + \overline{\eta_{2,0}})$$

for some real function h. It remains to deal with the other terms. Consider the linear map L from the underlying real vector space of $\Omega^{2,1}\oplus\Omega^{2,0}$ to the underlying real vector space of $\Omega^{3,2}$, given by $L(\eta_{2,1},\eta_{2,0}) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega\wedge\overline{\eta_{2,0}} + \omega\wedge\eta_{2,1}$. We have shown in Corollory 3.3 that L has an 18-dimensional kernel, so by the rank-nullity theorem, since the domain is 24-dimensional, the orthogonal complement to the kernel is mapped isomorphically onto the image, a 6-dimensional real vector space. Note that the map L is precisely wedge product with the G_2 3-form φ. Since the Ω^3_7 forms are precisely those which are mapped isomorphically onto Ω^6_7 by wedge product with φ, this gives those Ω^3_7 forms which are mapped to 6-forms of the form $dt\wedge\alpha_{3,2} + dt\wedge\overline{\alpha_{3,2}}$, a 6-dimensional space, and the remaining Ω^3_7 form is $\mathrm{Im}(\Omega)$, which is sent to $\mathrm{Re}(\Omega)\wedge\mathrm{Im}(\Omega) = 4\mathrm{vol}_6$ under wedge product with φ. □

Here is another way to describe the real Ω^3_7 forms. Recall that they are given by $X\lrcorner\psi$, where X is a real vector field on M^7. We can write this as

$$X = a^i\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} + \bar{a}^i\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}^i} + h\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

in terms of local complex coordinates z^i on X^6. Then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} X\lrcorner\psi \;&=\; -h\mathrm{Im}(\Omega) + a^i\eta_i + \bar{a}^i\overline{\eta}_i, \\ \text{where}\;\; \eta_i &= dt\wedge\frac{1}{2i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}\lrcorner\Omega\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}\lrcorner\frac{\omega^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives a canonical basis of Ω^3_7 given a choice of basis of $(1,0)$ vector fields.

The case of 2-forms

We now consider the case of a complex valued 2-form β on M^7. We can decompose it as

$$\beta = \beta_2 + dt\wedge\beta_1$$

where β_1 and β_2 are a 1-form and a 2-form on X^6, respectively. Again, strictly speaking, these forms also depend on the parameter t.

We write $\beta_2 = \beta_{2,0} + \beta^0_{1,1} + k\omega + \beta_{0,2}$, and $\beta_1 = \beta_{1,0} + \beta_{0,1}$, decomposing them into types determined by the Kähler structure of X^6.

Proposition 3.5. *The 2-form η is in Ω^2_{14} if and only if $k = 0$ and the following equations are satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{0,1}\wedge\omega^2 + i\beta_{2,0}\wedge\overline{\Omega} \;&=\; 0, \\ \beta_{1,0}\wedge\omega^2 - i\beta_{0,2}\wedge\Omega \;&=\; 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The space Ω^2_{14} can be characterized as the space of 2-forms β such that $\beta \wedge \psi = 0$. We compute $\beta \wedge \psi$:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta \wedge \psi &= \left(\beta_{2,0} + \beta^0_{1,1} + k\omega + \beta_{0,2}\right) + dt \wedge (\beta_{1,0} + \beta_{0,1})\Big) \wedge \left(-dt \wedge \frac{1}{2i}(\Omega - \overline{\Omega}) - \frac{\omega^2}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} dt \wedge \beta_{2,0} \wedge \overline{\Omega} - \frac{1}{2i} dt \wedge \beta_{0,2} \wedge \Omega - dt \wedge \beta_{1,0} \wedge \frac{\omega^2}{2} - dt \wedge \beta_{0,1} \wedge \frac{\omega^2}{2} - \frac{k}{2}\omega^3,\end{aligned}$$

where other terms are zero due to type considerations and the fact that $\beta^0_{1,1} \wedge \omega^2$ is zero. Now collecting terms of the same type: $(3,3)$, $dt \wedge (3,2)$, and $dt \wedge (2,3)$, gives the two equations above, and $k = 0$. □

Note that $k = 0$ implies that the Kähler form ω has no component in Ω^2_{14}, and is hence in Ω^2_7. (This can also be seen from $\omega = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lrcorner \varphi$.) Note also that there are no conditions on $\beta^0_{1,1}$ so all of these forms are in Ω^2_{14}.

Before we move on to Ω^2_7, as before let us consider the case when β is real. Then we have $\beta_{q,p} = \overline{\beta_{p,q}}$. Then we have

Corollary 3.6. *The* real 2*-forms of type* Ω^2_{14} *are given by:*

- *the* real 2*-forms of type* $(1,1)_0$ *(where* $(1,1)_0$ *are the* $(1,1)$ *forms orthogonal to* ω*), which is (pointwise)* 8*-dimensional.*
- *the real* 2*-forms* $\beta_{2,0} + \overline{\beta_{2,0}} + dt \wedge (\beta_{1,0} + \overline{\beta_{1,0}})$*, where* $i\overline{\beta_{2,0}} \wedge \Omega - \beta_{1,0} \wedge \omega^2 = 0$*, which is (pointwise)* 6*-dimensional. This is because for any real* $(1,0)$ *form* $\beta_{1,0} + \overline{\beta_{0,1}}$*, the equation* $i\overline{\beta_{2,0}} \wedge \Omega - \beta_{1,0} \wedge \omega^2 = 0$ *can be solved uniquely for* $\beta_{2,0}$ *due to the non-degeneracy of the holomorphic volume form* Ω*.*

This gives the expected result for the (pointwise) dimension of the space of *real* Ω^2_{14} forms to be $8 + 6 = 14$.

We now consider the 2-forms β of type Ω^2_7. This time the map $\beta \mapsto \psi \wedge \beta$ is an isomorphism of Ω^2_7 onto Ω^6_7.

Proposition 3.7. *The* real 2*-forms of type* Ω^2_7 *are the following:*

- $\mathrm{span}(\omega)$*, which is (pointwise)* 1*-dimensional.*
- *the real* 2*-forms* $\beta_{2,0} + \overline{\beta_{2,0}} + dt \wedge (\beta_{1,0} + \overline{\beta_{1,0}})$*, where* $(\beta_{2,0}, \beta_{1,0})$ *is in the orthogonal complement of the kernel of the linear map*

$$M : (\beta_{2,0}, \beta_{1,0}) \mapsto i\overline{\beta_{2,0}} \wedge \Omega - \beta_{1,0} \wedge \omega^2.$$

  This space is (pointwise) 6*-dimensional.*

This gives the expected result of $1 + 6 = 7$ *for the (pointwise) dimension of the space of real* Ω^2_7 *forms.*

Proof. Suppose that the real 2-form β is in Ω^2_7. Since we already know that forms of the type $dt \wedge (1,1)_0$ are in Ω^2_{14}, we can write that

$$\beta = \beta_{2,0} + \overline{\beta_{2,0}} + k\omega + dt \wedge (\beta_{1,0} + \overline{\beta_{1,0}}),$$

where k is some real function. Consider the linear map M from the underlying real vector space of $\Omega^{2,0} \oplus \Omega^{1,0}$ to the underlying real vector space of $\Omega^{3,2}$, given by $M(\beta_{2,0}, \beta_{1,0}) = i\overline{\beta_{2,0}} \wedge \Omega - \beta_{1,0} \wedge \omega^2$. We

have shown in Corollary 3.6 that M has a 6-dimensional kernel, so by the rank-nullity theorem, since the domain is 12-dimensional, the orthogonal complement to the kernel is mapped isomorphically onto the image, a 6-dimensional real vector space. Note that the map M is (up to a non-zero constant factor) wedge product with the G_2 4-form ψ. Since the Ω^2_7 forms are precisely those which are mapped isomorphically onto Ω^6_7 by wedge product with ψ, this gives those Ω^2_7 forms which are mapped to 6-forms of the form $dt \wedge \alpha_{3,2} + dt \wedge \overline{\alpha_{3,2}}$, a 6-dimensional space, and the remaining Ω^2_7 form is ω, which is sent to a multiple of $\frac{\omega^3}{6} = \mathrm{vol}_6$ under wedge product with ψ. □

Here is another way to describe the real Ω^2_7 forms. Recall that they are given by $X \lrcorner \varphi$, where X is a real vector field on M^7. As before we write this as

$$X = a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} + \bar{a}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i} + h \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

in terms of local complex coordinates z^i on X^6. Then it is easy to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner \varphi \;&=\; -h\omega + a^i \beta_i + \bar{a}^i \overline{\beta}_i, \\ \text{where}\;\; \beta_i &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \lrcorner \Omega\right) + dt \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \lrcorner \omega\right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives a canonical basis of Ω^2_7 given a choice of basis of $(1,0)$ vector fields.

4 First order differential operators for G_2 structures

In this section, we use both the local coordinate (indices) approach for G_2 structures, as in [9] and [10], as well as coordinate-free notation. Let (M^7, φ, ψ, g) be 7-manifold with G_2 structure. We want to study some natural first order differential operators on (M^7, φ, ψ, g). First, as on any Riemannian manifold, we have $\operatorname{grad} f$, the *gradient* of a function f, which is the vector field

$$(\operatorname{grad} f)^k \;=\; g^{ki} \nabla_i f, \tag{4.1}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative induced by the metric g. Invariantly, we have

$$\operatorname{grad} f \;=\; (df)^\sharp. \tag{4.2}$$

Next, also as on any Riemannian manifold, we have $\operatorname{div} X$, the *divergence* of a vector field X, which is the function

$$\operatorname{div} X \;=\; \nabla_i X^i \;=\; g^{ij} \nabla_i X_j, \tag{4.3}$$

where $X_j = g_{jk} X^k$ is the 1-form metric dual to X. Invariantly, we have

$$\operatorname{div} X \;=\; -d^* X^\flat \;=\; *d * X^\flat, \tag{4.4}$$

where d^* is the formal adjoint to the exterior derivative d. (The identity $d^* = - * d *$ is true for 1-forms on an odd-dimensional manifold.)

There is another natural first order differential operator on M^7, determined by the G_2 structure, which we now proceed to define. Recall that M has a *cross product* $\times$ on vector fields defined by

$$\langle X \times Y, Z \rangle \;=\; \varphi(X, Y, Z), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the metric g induced by φ. Equivalently it is given by

$$(X\times Y)^\flat \;=\; Y\lrcorner X\lrcorner\varphi \;=\; *(X^\flat\wedge Y^\flat\wedge\psi), \tag{4.6}$$

where $^\flat$ is the musical isomorphism between vector fields and 1-forms given by g. The cross product satisfies

$$X\times Y \;=\; -Y\times X, \qquad \langle X\times Y, X\rangle \;=\; 0, \qquad |X\times Y|^2 \;=\; |X\wedge Y|^2 = |X|^2|Y|^2 - \langle X,Y\rangle^2, \tag{4.7}$$

exactly like the cross product on an oriented Riemannian 3-manifold. It differs from the 3-dimensional case in the formula for the iteration of the cross product:

$$X\times(Y\times Z) \;=\; -\langle X,Y\rangle Z + \langle X,Z\rangle Y - (X\lrcorner Y\lrcorner Z\lrcorner\psi)^\sharp. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that we are using the sign convention given by (2.1) and (2.2), which differs from the choice in [8]. However, there is a sign error in the proof of Lemma 2.4.3 of [8], so in that convention the final term in equation (4.8) should have a plus sign.

Remark 4.1. For the cross product on an oriented 3-manifold, equations (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8) hold with φ replaced by the *volume form* vol_3, and ψ replaced by $*_3\mathrm{vol}_3 = 1$.

We also note that in index notation, the cross product can be written as

$$(X\times Y)^l \;=\; X^iY^j\varphi_{ijk}g^{kl}, \tag{4.9}$$

and the relation (4.8) can be expressed as

$$\varphi_{ijk}\varphi_{abc}g^{kc} \;=\; g_{ia}g_{jb} - g_{ib}g_{ja} - \psi_{ijab}. \tag{4.10}$$

4.1 The curl operator

We can use the cross product to define another first order differential operator.

Definition 4.2. We define the *curl* of a vector field X to be the vector field $\operatorname{curl} X$ given by

$$(\operatorname{curl} X)^l \;=\; (g^{ai}\nabla_a X^j)\varphi_{ijk}g^{kl} \;=\; (\nabla_a X_b)g^{ai}g^{bj}\varphi_{ijk}g^{kl}. \tag{4.11}$$

Just as on an oriented Riemannian 3-manifold, one can think of $\operatorname{curl} X$ as the vector field obtained by taking the cross product of the 'vector field' $\nabla = \nabla_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ with the vector field X. From (4.6), we see that invariantly we have

$$(\operatorname{curl} X)^\flat \;=\; *(dX^\flat\wedge\psi). \tag{4.12}$$

In other words, up to G_2-invariant isomorphisms, the vector field $\operatorname{curl} X$ is the projection onto the Ω^2_7 component of the 2-form $dX^\flat$. Note also that when the G_2 structure is torsion-free (that is, when $\nabla\varphi = 0$), then we can forget about the parentheses in (4.11) and write unambiguously that $(\operatorname{curl} X)^l = \nabla_a X_b g^{ai}b^{bj}\,\varphi_{ijk}g^{kl}$.

Remark 4.3. By combining the exterior derivative and the various projections onto the irreducible G_2-representations, one can define several more natural first order differential operators on a manifold with G_2 structure. These are discussed in detail by Bryant in [2]. In this paper we will only consider the curl and later below the Dirac operator.

There are several relations between the operators grad, div, and curl on a manifold M with a torsion-free G_2 structure. Before we present them, we need to recall some identities that are satisfied for torsion-free G_2 structures. Let $X_k dx^k$ be a 1-form on M. The Ricci identities say that

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j X_k - \nabla_j \nabla_i X_k \;=\; -R_{ijkl} g^{lm} X_m, \tag{4.13}$$

where R_{ijkl} is the Riemann curvature tensor. If we contract (4.13) with g^{jk}, we obtain

$$(\operatorname{grad}\operatorname{div} X)^\flat \;=\; \nabla_i \left(g^{jk} \nabla_j X_k\right) \;=\; g^{jk} \nabla_j \nabla_i X_k - R_{ijkl} g^{jk} g^{lm} X_m \;=\; g^{jk} \nabla_j \nabla_i X_k, \tag{4.14}$$

where we have used the fact that $R_{ijkl} g^{jk} = R_{il}$ is the Ricci tensor, which vanishes for a torsion-free G_2 structure. The Ricci-flatness of the metric also implies (by the Weitzenböck formula) that the rough Laplacian agrees with (minus) the Hodge Laplacian $\Delta_d = dd^* + d^*d$ on 1-forms. Specifically, if $X = X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ is a vector field on M, then

$$g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j X_k \;=\; -\Delta_d X^\flat \;=\; -(dd^* + d^*d) X^\flat. \tag{4.15}$$

Because φ is torsion-free, the Riemann curvature tensor R_{ijkl} lies in $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\Omega^2_{14})$ (see Corollary 4.7 in [9]). Proposition 2.6 in [9] now says that

$$R_{ijkl} g^{ia} g^{jb} \psi_{abcd} \;=\; 2R_{cdkl}.$$

Contracting the above identity with g^{kc} now gives

$$R_{ijkl} g^{ia} g^{jb} g^{kc} \psi_{abcd} \;=\; 2R_{cdkl} g^{kc} \;=\; -2R_{dl} \;=\; 0. \tag{4.16}$$

We are now ready to establish the relations between grad, div, and curl on a G_2 manifold.

Proposition 4.4. *Let f be any function and X be any vector field on a manifold M with a* torsion-free G_2 *structure. The following relations hold:*

$$\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{grad} f) = 0, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl} X) = 0, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} X) = (\operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} X)) + (\Delta_d X^\flat)^\sharp. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. To establish (4.17), we note that equations (4.12) and (4.2) show that

$$*(\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{grad} f))^\flat \;=\; d(df) \wedge \psi,$$

which vanishes since $d(df) = 0$. Note that (4.17) does not require the torsion-free hypothesis. To establish (4.18), we note that equations (4.4) and (4.12) show that

$$\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl} X) \;=\; *d*(*(dX^\flat \wedge \psi)) \;=\; *d(dX^\flat \wedge \psi) \;=\; 0,$$

using the facts that $*^2 = 1$, $d(dX^\flat) = 0$, and $d\psi = 0$. Note that (4.18) only requires the G_2 structure to be coclosed ($d\psi = 0$.) Finally, to prove (4.19), we will use local coordinates, and we will require the full torsion-free hypothesis. Using (4.11), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} X))_k &= \nabla_p (\operatorname{curl} X)_q \, g^{pa} g^{qb} \varphi_{abk} \\
&= \nabla_p \left(\nabla_\alpha X_\beta g^{\alpha i} g^{\beta j} \varphi_{ijq}\right) g^{pa} g^{qb} \varphi_{abk} \\
&= (\nabla_p \nabla_\alpha X_\beta) g^{\alpha i} g^{\beta j} g^{pa} (\varphi_{ijq} \varphi_{kab} g^{qb}) \\
&= (\nabla_p \nabla_\alpha X_\beta) g^{\alpha i} g^{\beta j} g^{pa} (g_{ik} g_{ja} - g_{ia} g_{jk} - \psi_{ijka}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.10) in the last line above. This expression now simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{curl}(\mathrm{curl}\,X))_k &= g^{\beta p}(\nabla_p\nabla_k X_\beta) - g^{\alpha p}(\nabla_p\nabla_\alpha X_k) - (\nabla_p\nabla_\alpha X_\beta)g^{\alpha i}g^{\beta j}g^{pa}\psi_{ijka}\\ &= (\mathrm{grad}(\mathrm{div}\,X))_k + (\Delta_d X^\flat)_k + (\nabla_p\nabla_\alpha X_\beta)g^{pa}g^{\alpha i}g^{\beta j}\psi_{aijk},\end{aligned}$$

using (4.14) and (4.15). Thus to prove (4.19) it remains to show that the last term above is zero. By the skew-symmetry of ψ_{aijk}, we can write this last term as:

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_p\nabla_\alpha X_\beta)g^{pa}g^{\alpha i}g^{\beta j}\psi_{aijk} &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_p\nabla_\alpha X_\beta - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_p X_\beta)g^{pa}g^{\alpha i}g^{\beta j}\psi_{aijk}\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}R_{p\alpha\beta m}g^{mn}X_n g^{pa}g^{\alpha i}g^{\beta j}\psi_{aijk} \;=\; 0,\end{aligned}$$

using (4.13) and (4.16). This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.5. The identities in (4.17), (4.18), and (4.19) are the exact analogues of similar identities for oriented Riemannian 3-manifolds. However, it is important to remember that in the non-torsion-free case, the second and third of these identities would have correction terms involving one derivative of X multiplied by the torsion T of the G_2 structure.

4.2 The Dirac operator

In this section, we will often implicitly use the metric g to identify vector fields and 1-forms, to minimize notational clutter. Any 7-manifold M with G_2 structure is necessarily orientable and spin, and there is a natural identification of the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}$ (which is a rank 8 real vector bundle on M) with the bundle $\mathbb{R}\oplus TM$ over M whose sections are smooth functions on M plus smooth vector fields on M, which we will now explain. At a point p in M, the fibre of $\mathbb{R}\oplus TM$ is $\mathbb{R}\oplus T_pM \cong \mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}^7$, which we identify with the octonions $\mathbb{O}$ by identifying $\mathbb{R} = \mathrm{Re}(\mathbb{O})$ and $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O})$. Now for the fibre $\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}^7 \cong \mathbb{O}$ to be a spinor space $\mathbb{S}$, there should exist a Clifford multiplication $\cdot$ of the 1-forms on $\mathbb{S}$ satisfying the fundamental identity

$$X\cdot(Y\cdot s) + Y\cdot(X\cdot s) \;=\; -2\langle X,Y\rangle s, \tag{4.20}$$

where X and Y are 1-forms, $s = (f, Z)$ is a spinor (a pair consisting of a function f and a vector field Z), and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the inner product on 1-forms induced by the Riemannian metric g on M coming from φ.

Lemma 4.6. *Octonion multiplication by imaginary octonions* $(\mathbb{R}^7)$ *on full octonions* $(\mathbb{R}^8)$ *is a Clifford multiplication of* $\Gamma(T^*M)$ *on* $C^\infty(M)\oplus\Gamma(TM)$*. That is, the identity* (4.20) *is satisfied.*

Proof. If $(f_k, X_k) \in \mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}^7$ are two 'spinors' for $k = 1, 2$, then one can check that the octonion product of the two is:

$$(f_1, X_1)(f_2, X_2) \;=\; (f_1f_2 - \langle X_1, X_2\rangle\,,\; f_1X_2 + f_2X_1 + X_1\times X_2), \tag{4.21}$$

where the cross product of two 1-forms (equivalently vector fields using the metric) is given by Definition 4.2. Now let $s = (f, Z)$ in $\mathbb{R}^8$ be a spinor, and let Y in $\mathbb{R}^7$ be a 1-form. Then, defining the *Clifford product* $\cdot$ to be given by octonion multiplication, we see by equation (4.21) that

$$Y\cdot(f, Z) \;=\; (-\langle Y, Z\rangle\,,\; fY + Y\times Z). \tag{4.22}$$

Composing with another Clifford multiplication by a 1-form X in $\mathbb{R}^7$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot (Y \cdot (f, Z)) &= (-\langle X, fY + Y \times Z \rangle \,,\, -\langle Y, Z \rangle X + X \times (fY + Y \times Z)) \\ &= (-f\langle X, Y \rangle - \varphi(X, Y, Z) \,,\, -\langle Y, Z \rangle X + fX \times Y - \langle X, Y \rangle Z + \langle X, Z \rangle Y + \psi(X, Y, Z, \cdot)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used equations (4.5) and (4.8). Now interchanging X and Y above and summing, the skew-symmetry of φ, ψ, and $\times$ gives

$$X \cdot (Y \cdot (f, Z)) + Y \cdot (X \cdot (f, Z)) = -2\langle X, Y \rangle (f, Z),$$

as claimed. □

Because $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R} \oplus TM$ is a spinor bundle on M, we can now define its Dirac operator.

Definition 4.7. The *Dirac operator* $\not{D}$ is a first order differential operator from $\mathbb{S}$ to $\mathbb{S}$ defined as follows. Let $s = (f, X)$ be a section of $\mathbb{S}$. Then

$$\not{D}(f, X) = dx^k \cdot (\nabla_k (f, X)) = dx^k \cdot (\nabla_k f, \nabla_k X). \tag{4.23}$$

That is, $\not{D}s$ should be thought of as Clifford multiplication on s by the '1-form' $\nabla = dx^k \nabla_k$.

By equation (4.22), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}(f, X) &= (-\langle dx^k, (\nabla_k X_j) dx^j \rangle \,,\, (\nabla_k f)(dx^k)^\sharp + (dx^k \nabla_k)^\sharp \times X) \\ &= (-\operatorname{div} X \,,\, \operatorname{grad} f + \operatorname{curl} X), \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

which expresses the Dirac operator in terms of div, grad, and curl.

Remark 4.8. It is easy to check using (4.22) that Clifford multiplication is skew-adjoint with respect to the inner product on $\mathbb{R} \oplus TM$ given by g. That is,

$$\langle X \cdot s_1, s_2 \rangle = -\langle s_1, X \cdot s_2 \rangle.$$

From this it follows that the Dirac operator is *formally self-adjoint*: $\not{D}^* = \not{D}$. That is, the difference $\langle \not{D}s_1, s_2 \rangle - \langle s_1, \not{D}s_2 \rangle$ is a divergence, and hence the integral of it over a (compact) manifold M will vanish by Stokes' theorem.

We now relate the *Dirac Laplacian* $\not{D}^* \not{D} = \not{D}^2$ to the Hodge Laplacian Δ_d.

Proposition 4.9. *The Dirac Laplacian* $\not{D}^2$ *and the Hodge Laplacian* Δ_d *are equal:*

$$\not{D}^2(f, X) = (\Delta_d f, (\Delta_d X^\flat)^\sharp). \tag{4.25}$$

Proof. Using equation (4.24), we compute directly:

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}^2(f, X) &= \not{D}(-\operatorname{div} X \,,\, \operatorname{grad} f + \operatorname{curl} X) \\ &= (-\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} f + \operatorname{curl} X) \,,\, \operatorname{grad}(-\operatorname{div} X) + \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{grad} f + \operatorname{curl} X)) \\ &= (-\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} f) - \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl} X) \,,\, -\operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} X) + \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{grad} f) + \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} X)) \\ &= (-\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} f) \,,\, (\Delta_d X^\flat)^\sharp), \end{aligned}$$

using Proposition 4.4. The claim now follows from the fact that $-\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} f) = -g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \Delta_d f$, which holds on any Riemannian manifold. □

Remark 4.10. This result is of course exactly what we expect, since on any spin manifold, the Weitzenböck formula for the Dirac Laplacian shows that it differs from (minus) the rough Laplacian by a term involving the scalar curvature. On a torsion-free G_2 manifold, which is Ricci-flat, the scalar curvature vanishes, so the Dirac Laplacian equals (minus) the rough Laplacian. However for any Ricci flat manifold, the usual Weitzenböck formula says that (minus) the rough Laplacian equals the Hodge Laplacian on 1-forms (and always on functions.) Therefore Proposition 4.9 is just an explicit verification of this fact for torsion-free G_2 manifolds.

References

[1] R.L. Bryant, "Metrics with exceptional holonomy", *Ann. of Math.* **126** (1987), 525–576.

[2] R.L. Bryant, "Some remarks on G_2 structures", *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005*, 75–109.

[3] R.L. Bryant and S.M. Salamon, "On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy", *Duke Math. J.* **58** (1989), 829–850.

[4] J. Dadok and R. Harvey, "Calibrations and spinors", *Acta Math.* **170** (1993), 83–120.

[5] R. Harvey and H.B. Lawson, "Calibrated geometries", *Acta Math.* **148** (1982), 47–157.

[6] D.D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.

[7] D.D. Joyce, "The exceptional holonomy groups and calibrated geometry", *arXiv: math.DG/0406011.*

[8] S. Karigiannis, "Deformations of G_2 and Spin(7) structures", *Canad. J. Math.* **57** (2005), 1012–1055.

[9] S. Karigiannis; "Flows of G_2 structures, I."; *Q. J. Math.* Advance Access published on July 14, 2008, doi:10.1093/qmath/han020, print version to appear.

[10] S. Karigiannis and N.C. Leung; "Hodge theory for G_2 manifolds: Intermediate Jacobians and Abel-Jacobi maps"; submitted for publication, *arXiv: 0709.2987.*